

Literatuur selectie – Instrumenten

1.1.1 Resultaten

Totaal aantal artikelen op basis van de literatuur studie: 2463

Exclusie op titel/abstract: 2237

1.1.1.1 Vragenlijsten en screeningtools

Selectie op titel en abstract (52): [1-52]

1.1.1.2 Anatomical detailed dolls

Na selectie op titel en abstract: 28 artikelen [53-80]

Additionele literatuur: 3 artikelen [81-83]

Inclusie systematische reviews (0): Bevat geen systematische reviews, reviews die wel zijn gevonden scoorden niet hoger dan 3 van de 11 punten op de AMSTAR, geen van allen bevatte informatie over de zoekstrategie en het selectie proces.

1.1.1.3 Projective Drawings

Na selectie op titel en abstract: 33 artikelen [84-116]

Additionele literatuur: 1 artikel [117]

Inclusie systematische reviews (2): [103, 115]

1. Juhnke, G.A., K. Henderson, and B.A. Juhnke, *The Juhnke, Henderson, Juhnke Child Abuse and Neglect Risk Assessment Scale: A mnemonic instrument used to assess potential maltreatment of children*. The Family Journal, 2013. **21**(1): p. 57-64.
2. Ruggiero, K.J. and S.V. McLeer, *PTSD scale of the Child Behavior Checklist: concurrent and discriminant validity with non-clinic-referred sexually abused children*. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 2000. **13**(2): p. 287-99.
3. Kaess, M., et al., *[Childhood Experiences of Care and Abuse (CECA) - validation of the German version of the questionnaire and interview, and results of an investigation of correlations between adverse childhood experiences and suicidal behaviour]*. Zeitschrift fur Kinder-und Jugendpsychiatrie und Psychotherapie, 2011. **39**(4): p. 243-52.
4. Smith, N., et al., *Childhood Experience of Care and Abuse Questionnaire (CECA.Q). Validation of a screening instrument for childhood adversity in clinical populations*. Social Psychiatry & Psychiatric Epidemiology, 2002. **37**(12): p. 572-9.
5. Gully, K.J., *Expectations test: trauma scales for sexual abuse, physical abuse, exposure to family violence, and posttraumatic stress*. Child Maltreatment, 2003. **8**(3): p. 218-29.
6. Mannarino, A.P., J.A. Cohen, and S.R. Berman, *The Children's Attributions and Perceptions Scale: A new measure of sexual abuse-related factors*. Journal of Clinical Child Psychology, 1994. **23**(2): p. 204-211.
7. DiLillo, D., et al., *Development and initial psychometric properties of the Computer Assisted Maltreatment Inventory (CAMI): A comprehensive self-report measure of child maltreatment history*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2010. **34**(5): p. 305-317.

8. Higgins, D.J. and M.P. McCabe, *The development of the Comprehensive Child Maltreatment Scale*. Journal of Family Studies, 2001. **7**(1): p. 7-28.
9. Riddle, K.P. and J.F. Aponte, *The Comprehensive Childhood Maltreatment Inventory: Early Development and Reliability Analyses*. Child Abuse and Neglect: The International Journal, 1103. **23**(11): p. 1103-15.
10. Friedrich, W.N. and et al., *Child Sexual Behavior Inventory: Normative and Clinical Comparisons*. Psychological Assessment, 1992. **4**(3): p. 303-11.
11. Friedrich, W.N., et al., *Child Sexual Behavior Inventory: normative, psychiatric, and sexual abuse comparisons*. Child Maltreatment, 2001. **6**(1): p. 37-49.
12. Wherry, J.N., et al., *Factor structure of the adolescent clinical sexual behavior inventory*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, 2009. **18**(3): p. 233-246.
13. Wherry, J.N., et al., *Child Sexual Behavior Inventory scores for inpatient psychiatric boys: An exploratory study*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse: Research, Treatment, & Program Innovations for Victims, Survivors, & Offenders, 1995. **4**(3): p. 95-105.
14. Bernstein, D.P., et al., *Validity of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire in an adolescent psychiatric population*. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 1997. **36**(3): p. 340-348.
15. Bernstein, D.P., et al., *Development and Validation of a Brief Screening Version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire*. Child Abuse and Neglect: The International Journal, 2003. **27**(2): p. 169-90.
16. Gerdner, A. and C. Allgulander, *Psychometric properties of the Swedish version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire-Short Form (CTQ-SF)*. Nordic Journal of Psychiatry, 2009. **63**(2): p. 160-170.
17. Klinitzke, G., et al., *[The German Version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ): psychometric characteristics in a representative sample of the general population]*. Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik, Medizinische Psychologie, 2012. **62**(2): p. 47-51.
18. Paivio, S.C. and K.M. Cramer, *Factor structure and reliability of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire in a Canadian undergraduate student sample*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2004. **28**(8): p. 889-904.
19. Paquette, D., et al., *[Validation of the French version of the CTQ and prevalence of the history of maltreatment]*. Sante Mentale au Quebec, 2004. **29**(1): p. 201-20.
20. Thombs, B.D., *Measurement invariance of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire across gender and race/ethnicity: Applications of structural equation modeling and item response theory (TEXAS)*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2005. **65**(7-B): p. 3729.
21. Thombs, B.D., et al., *A validation study of the Dutch Childhood Trauma Questionnaire-Short Form: Factor structure, reliability, and known-groups validity*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2009. **33**(8): p. 518-523.
22. Wingenfeld, K., et al., *[The German version of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ): preliminary psychometric properties]*. Psychotherapie, Psychosomatik, Medizinische Psychologie, 2010. **60**(11): p. 442-50.
23. Marek, S.A., *Confirmation of the factor structure of the Childhood Sexual Abuse - Distress Questionnaire: Defining child sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2003. **63**(10-B): p. 4960.
24. Kopecky-Wenzel, M., A. Hipfner, and R. Frank, *[Questionnaire on psychosexual development of children--development of a guideline for diagnosis of sexual abuse]*. Praxis der Kinderpsychologie und Kinderpsychiatrie, 1996. **45**(7): p. 230-8.
25. Castelda, B.A., et al., *Extension of the sexual abuse questionnaire to other abuse categories: the initial psychometric validation of the Binghamton Childhood Abuse Screen*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, 2007. **16**(1): p. 107-25.
26. Ryan, S.H.W., *Psychometric analysis of the Sexual Abuse Exposure Questionnaire*. Dissertation Abstracts International, 1993. **54**(4-B): p. 2268.

27. Salvagni, E.P. and M.B. Wagner, *Development of a questionnaire for the assessment of sexual abuse in children and estimation of its discriminant validity: a case-control study*. Jornal de Pediatria, 2006. **82**(6): p. 431-6.
28. Briere, J., et al., *The trauma symptom checklist for young children (TSCYC): Reliability and association with abuse exposure in a multi-site study*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2001. **25**(8): p. 1001-1014.
29. Smith-Papke, L.E., *Assessing the severity of childhood sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 1996. **57**(6-B): p. 4044.
30. Sadowski, C.M. and W.N. Friedrich, *Psychometric properties of the Trauma Symptom Checklist for Children (TSCC) with psychiatrically hospitalized adolescents*. Child Maltreatment, 2000. **5**(4): p. 364-72.
31. Williams, T.L., *The development and validation of a multi-dimensional assessment instrument of child sexual abuse experiences*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2002. **62**(11-B): p. 5399.
32. Tanaka, M., et al., *Preliminary evaluation of the Childhood Experiences of Violence Questionnaire Short Form*. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 2012. **27**(2): p. 396-407.
33. Walsh, C.A., et al., *Measurement of victimization in adolescence: Development and validation of the childhood experiences of violence questionnaire*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2008. **32**(11): p. 1037-1057.
34. Dunne, M.P., et al., *ISPCAN Child Abuse Screening Tools Retrospective version (ICAST-R): Delphi study and field testing in seven countries*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2009. **33**(11): p. 815-25.
35. Runyan, D.K., M.P. Dunne, and A.J. Zolotor, *Introduction to the development of the ISPCAN child abuse screening tools*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2009. **33**(11): p. 842-5.
36. Runyan, D.K., et al., *The development and piloting of the ISPCAN Child Abuse Screening Tool-Parent version (ICAST-P)*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2009. **33**(11): p. 826-32.
37. Zolotor, A.J., et al., *ISPCAN Child Abuse Screening Tool Children's Version (ICAST-C): Instrument development and multi-national pilot testing*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2009. **33**(11): p. 833-41.
38. Johnson, W.L., *The validity and utility of the California Family Risk Assessment under practice conditions in the field: A prospective study*. Child Abuse Negl, 2011. **35**(1): p. 18-28.
39. Brandyberry, L.J. and R.R. MacNair-Semands, *Examining the Validity and Reliability of Childhood Abuse Scales: Putting "The Courage To Heal" to the Test*. Child Abuse and Neglect: The International Journal, 1253. **22**(12): p. 1253-63.
40. Bremner, J., R. Bolus, and E.A. Mayer, *Psychometric properties of the Early Trauma Inventory-Self-Report*. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 2007. **195**(3): p. 211-218.
41. Floyed, R.L., et al., *Development of a Screening Tool for Pediatric Sexual Assault May Reduce Emergency-Department Visits*. Pediatrics, 2011. **128**(2): p. 221-226.
42. Wurtele, S.K., J. Hughes, and J.S. Owens, *An examination of the reliability of the "What If" Situations Test: A brief report*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse: Research, Treatment, & Program Innovations for Victims, Survivors, & Offenders, 1998. **7**(1): p. 41-52.
43. Glaesmer, H., et al., *[The childhood trauma screener (CTS) - development and validation of cut-off-scores for classificatory diagnostics]*. Psychiatrische Praxis, 2013. **40**(4): p. 220-6.
44. Grabe, H.J., et al., *[A brief instrument for the assessment of childhood abuse and neglect: the childhood trauma screener (CTS)]*. Psychiatrische Praxis, 2012. **39**(3): p. 109-15.
45. Robertson, K.R., *Initial validation of an inventory to assess sexual child abuse potential*. Dissertation Abstracts International, 1990. **51**(2-B): p. 1001.
46. Rohr, M.E. and et al., *Identifying Victims of Abuse Using the Personality Inventory for Children: I. Applications for Adolescent Runaways*. 1994.
47. Wright, M.J., *Identifying child sexual abuse using the Personality Inventory for Children*. Dissertation Abstracts International, 1991. **52**(3-B): p. 1744.

48. Kumar, G., R.A. Steer, and E. Deblinger, *Problems in differentiating sexually from nonsexually abused adolescent psychiatric inpatients by self-reported anxiety, depression, internalization, and externalization*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 1996. **20**(11): p. 1079-86.
49. Malik, F.D. and A.A. Shah, *Development of child abuse scale: Reliability and validity analyses*. Psychology and Developing Societies, 2007. **19**(2): p. 161-178.
50. Swahnberg, K., *NorVold Abuse Questionnaire for men (m-NorAQ): validation of new measures of emotional, physical, and sexual abuse and abuse in health care in male patients*. Gender Medicine, 2011. **8**(2): p. 69-79.
51. Vezina, A. and R. Bradet, *Validation of the Child Well-Being Scales (CWBSs) in Quebec*. Science et Comportement, 1992. **22**(3-4): p. 233-251.
52. Bergner, R.M., L.K. Delgado, and D. Graybill, *Finkelhor's Risk Factor Checklist: a cross-validation study*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 1994. **18**(4): p. 331-40.
53. Lamb, M.E. and et al., *Investigative Interviews of Alleged Sexual Abuse Victims with and without Anatomical Dolls*. Child Abuse and Neglect: The International Journal, 1251. **20**(12): p. 1251-59.
54. White, S., et al., *Interviewing young sexual abuse victims with anatomically correct dolls*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 1986. **10**(4): p. 519-29.
55. Boat, B.W. and M.D. Everson, *Interviewing young children with anatomical dolls*. Child Welfare, 1988. **67**(4): p. 337-52.
56. Glaser, D. and C. Collins, *The response of young, non-sexually abused children to anatomically correct dolls*. Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry & Allied Disciplines, 1989. **30**(4): p. 547-60.
57. Sergeant, J. and W. Everaerd, *Clinical decisions and the doll method*. Psycholoog, 1989. **24**(6): p. 297-302.
58. Duty, D.S., *Anatomically Correct Dolls: Use in Suspected Child Sexual Abuse Interviews*. 1990.
59. Realmuto, G.M., J.B. Jensen, and S. Wescoe, *Specificity and sensitivity of sexually anatomically correct dolls in substantiating abuse: a pilot study*. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 1990. **29**(5): p. 743-6.
60. Kendall-Tackett, K.A., *Professionals' Standards of "Normal" Behavior with Anatomical Dolls and Factors That Influence These Standards*. 1991.
61. Lie, G.Y. and A. Inman, *The use of anatomical dolls as assessment and evidentiary tools*. Social Work, 1991. **36**(5): p. 396-9.
62. Lie, G.-Y. and A. Inman, *The Use of Anatomical Dolls as Assessment and Evidentiary Tools*. Social Work, 1991. **36**(5): p. 396-99.
63. Kendall-Tackett, K.A., *Professionals' standards of "normal" behavior with anatomical dolls and factors that influence these standards*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 1992. **16**(5): p. 727-33.
64. Realmuto, G.M. and S. Wescoe, *Agreement among professionals about a child's sexual abuse status: interviews with sexually anatomically correct dolls as indicators of abuse*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 1992. **16**(5): p. 719-25.
65. Bartlett-Simpson, B., S. Kneeshaw, and C. Schaefer, *The use of anatomical dolls to assess child sexual abuse: A critical review*. International Journal of Play Therapy, 1993. **2**(2): p. 35-51.
66. Elliott, A.N., W.T. O'Donohue, and M.A. Nickerson, *The use of sexually anatomically detailed dolls in the assessment of sexual abuse*. Clinical Psychology Review, 1993. **13**(3): p. 207-221.
67. Skinner, L.J. and K.K. Berry, *Anatomically detailed dolls and the evaluation of child sexual abuse allegations: Psychometric considerations*. Law and Human Behavior, 1993. **17**(4): p. 399-421.
68. Wolfner, G., D. Faust, and R.M. Dawes, *The use of anatomically detailed dolls in sexual abuse evaluations: The state of the science*. Applied & Preventive Psychology, 1993. **2**(1): p. 1-11.
69. Skinner, L.J., M.K. Giles, and K.K. Berry, *Anatomically detailed dolls and validation interviews: Standardization, norms, and training issues*. Journal of Offender Rehabilitation, 1994. **21**(1-2): p. 45-72.

70. Carlson, R.S., *A critical analysis of the use of anatomically detailed dolls in the assessment of child sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 1995. **55**(12-B): p. 5561.
71. Katz, S.M., et al., *The accuracy of children's reports with anatomically correct dolls*. Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics, 1995. **16**(2): p. 71-6.
72. Koocher, G.P., et al., *Psychological science and the use of anatomically detailed dolls in child sexual-abuse assessments*. Psychological Bulletin, 1995. **118**(2): p. 199-222.
73. Levy, H.B. and et al., *Child Sexual Abuse Interviews: The Use of Anatomic Dolls and the Reliability of Information*. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 1995. **10**(3): p. 334-53.
74. Levy, H.B., et al., *Child sexual abuse interviews: The use of anatomic dolls and the reliability of information*. Journal of Interpersonal Violence, 1995. **10**(3): p. 334-353.
75. Morgan, M. and V. Edwards, *How to interview sexual abuse victims: Including the use of anatomical dolls*. Interpersonal violence: The practice series, Vol 7. 1995, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc; US.
76. Simkins, L. and A. Renier, *An analytical review of the empirical literature on children's play with anatomically detailed dolls... a modified version of this paper was presented at the Midcontinent Region annual conference of the Society for the Scientific Study of Sex, Austin, Texas (May, 1994)*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, 1996. **5**(1): p. 21-45.
77. Skinner, L.J., *Assumptions and beliefs about the role of AD dolls in child sexual abuse validation interviews: are they supported empirically?* Behavioral Sciences & the Law, 1996. **14**(2): p. 167-85.
78. Everson, M.D. and B.W. Boat, *Anatomical dolls in child sexual abuse assessments: A call for forensically relevant research*. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 1997. **11**(Spec Issue): p. S55-S74.
79. Bruck, M., S.J. Ceci, and E. Francoeur, *Children's use of anatomically detailed dolls to report genital touching in a medical examination: developmental and gender comparisons*. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied, 2000. **6**(1): p. 74-83.
80. Faller, K.C., *Anatomical dolls: their use in assessment of children who may have been sexually abused*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, 2005. **14**(3): p. 1-21.
81. M.D.Everson, B.W.B., *PUTING THE ANATOMICAL DOLL CONTROVERSY IN PERSPECTIVE: AN EXAMINATION OF THE MAJOR USES AND CRITICISMS OF THE DOLLS IN CHILD SEXUAL ABUSE EVALUATIONS* Child Abuse & Neglect, 1994. **18**(2): p. 113-129.
82. Thierry, K.L., et al., *Developmental differences in the function and use of anatomical dolls during interviews with alleged sexual abuse victims*. J Consult Clin Psychol, 2005. **73**(6): p. 1125-34.
83. Hlavka, H.R., S.D. Olinger, and J.L. Lashley, *The use of anatomical dolls as a demonstration aid in child sexual abuse interviews: a study of forensic interviewers' perceptions*. J Child Sex Abus, 2010. **19**(5): p. 519-53.
84. West, M.M., *Meta-Analysis of Studies Assessing the Efficacy of Projective Techniques in Discriminating Child Sexual Abuse*. Child Abuse and Neglect: The International Journal, 1151. **22**(11): p. 1151-66.
85. Cohen, F.W. and R.E. Phelps, *Incest markers in children's artwork*. The Arts in Psychotherapy, 1985. **12**(4): p. 265-283.
86. Czenner, Z., *The reliability of information gained by a child's drawings*. Acta Medicinæ Legalis et Socialis, 1986. **36**(2): p. 199-207.
87. Hibbard, R.A., K. Roghmann, and R.A. Hoekelman, *Genitalia in children's drawings: an association with sexual abuse*. Pediatrics, 1987. **79**(1): p. 129-37.
88. Manning, T.M., *Aggression depicted in abused children's drawings*. The Arts in Psychotherapy, 1987. **14**(1): p. 15-24.
89. Sidun, N.M. and R.H. Rosenthal, *Graphic indicators of sexual abuse in Draw-A-Person Tests of psychiatrically hospitalized adolescents*. The Arts in Psychotherapy, 1987. **14**(1): p. 25-33.

90. Rasch, M.A. and E.E. Wagner, *Initial psychological effects of sexual abuse on female children as reflected in the Hand Test*. Journal of Personality Assessment, 1989. **53**(4): p. 761-9.
91. Hibbard, R.A. and G.L. Hartman, *Emotional indicators in human figure drawings of sexually victimized and nonabused children*. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 1990. **46**(2): p. 211-9.
92. Torem, M.S., A. Gilbertson, and V. Light, *Indications of physical, sexual, and verbal victimization in projective tree drawings*. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 1990. **46**(6): p. 900-6.
93. Hagood, M.M., *Diagnosis or dilemma: Drawings of sexually abused children*. British Journal of Projective Psychology, 1992. **37**(1): p. 22-33.
94. Hewitt, S.K. and A.A. Arrowood, *Systematic touch exploration as a screening procedure for child abuse: A pilot study*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse: Research, Treatment, & Program Innovations for Victims, Survivors, & Offenders, 1994. **3**(2): p. 31-43.
95. Pistole, D.R. and S.R. Ornduff, *TAT assessment of sexually abused girls: an analysis of manifest content*. Journal of Personality Assessment, 1994. **63**(2): p. 211-22.
96. Carr, E.L., *Development of a projective fairy tale test to assess child sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 1995. **55**(7-B): p. 3007.
97. Peterson, L.W., M. Hardin, and M.J. Nitsch, *The use of children's drawings in the evaluation and treatment of child sexual, emotional, and physical abuse*. Archives of Family Medicine, 1995. **4**(5): p. 445-52.
98. Yee, H., *Assessing child sexual abuse: Refinement of a preliminary instrument for clinical use*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 1995. **56**(4-B): p. 2379.
99. Bruening, C.C., W.G. Wagner, and J.T. Johnson, *Impact of rater knowledge on sexually abused and nonabused girls' scores on the Draw-A-Person: Screening Procedure for Emotional Disturbance (DAP:SPED)*. Journal of Personality Assessment, 1997. **68**(3): p. 665-77.
100. Friedrich, W.N. and M.C. Share, *The Roberts Apperception Test for Children: An Exploratory Study of Its Use with Sexually Abused Children*. Journal of Child Sexual Abuse, 1997. **6**(4): p. 83-91.
101. Grobstein, G., *Human figure drawings and the identification of child sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences, 1997. **57**(8-A): p. 3391.
102. Garb, H.N., J.M. Wood, and M. Nezworski, *Projective techniques and the detection of child sexual abuse*. Child Maltreatment, 2000. **5**(2): p. 161-168.
103. Garb, H.N., J.M. Wood, and M.T. Nezworski, *Projective techniques and the detection of child sexual abuse*. Child Maltreatment, 2000. **5**(2): p. 161-8.
104. Gully, K.J., *Initial development of the Expectations Test for children: A tool to investigate social information processing*. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 2000. **56**(12): p. 1551-1563.
105. Palmer, L., et al., *An investigation of the clinical use of the house-tree-person projective drawings in the psychological evaluation of child sexual abuse*. Child Maltreatment, 2000. **5**(2): p. 169-75.
106. Deffenbaugh, A.M., *The House-Tree-Person Test with Kids Who Have Been Sexually Abused*. 2003.
107. Williams, S.D., *Build-a-person technique: An investigation of the validity of human-figure drawing signs as evidence of childhood sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2003. **63**(12-B): p. 6111.
108. Zannis, M.D., *Child maltreatment and projective drawings: The role of holes in trees*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2003. **64**(1-B): p. 437.
109. Aldridge, J., et al., *Using a human figure drawing to elicit information from alleged victims of child sexual abuse*. Journal of Consulting & Clinical Psychology, 2004. **72**(2): p. 304-16.
110. Blanchouin, C., et al., *Dysharmonie children, sexual abuse and drawing: A comparative approach with the Van Mutton's diagnostic scale*. Annales Medico-Psychologiques, 2005. **163**(6): p. 465-475.

111. Williams, S.D., J. Wiener, and H. MacMillan, *Build-a-Person Technique: an examination of the validity of human-figure features as evidence of childhood sexual abuse*. Child Abuse & Neglect, 2005. **29**(6): p. 701-13.
112. Austin, J.T., *Using children's projective drawings to detect sexual abuse*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2007. **67**(8-B): p. 4698.
113. Sanders, C.W., *Using the house-tree-person test to assess sexually abused adolescents*. Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering, 2007. **68**(3-B): p. 1943.
114. Amil, A.B., E. Iyaca, and M.D. Lopez, *Problems in the use of projective techniques in the detection of child sexual abuse*. Acta Psiquiatrica y Psicologica de America Latina, 2010. **56**(1): p. 51-56.
115. Allen, B. and C. Tussey, *Can projective drawings detect if a child experienced sexual or physical abuse? A systematic review of the controlled research*. Trauma Violence & Abuse, 2012. **13**(2): p. 97-111.
116. Lisi, A., et al., *The utility and limitations of the Human Figure Drawing Test in the evaluation of the child abuse's cases in expert testimony circles*. Psicologia Clinica dello Sviluppo, 2012. **16**(2): p. 421-439.
117. Burgess, A.W. and C.R. Hartman, *Children's drawings*. Child Abuse Negl, 1993. **17**(1): p. 161-8.